ImageWhisperer

AI IMAGE DETECTOR · GPU · 85.2% CORRECTLY DETECTED · V1.6.6

Upload an image. Get the investigation.

41 CHECKS · ONE VERDICT · PLAIN-LANGUAGE EVIDENCE

10 forensic models • pixel-level analysis • source tracing • fact-check databases

UPLOAD FILE | PASTE LINK

HOME

Analyze Another Image

Download Result



nETA.jpg

The Results Are In

WHAT'S IN THIS IMAGE

Who: A person identified by web sources as Benjamin Netanyahu.

What: The image shows a man resembling Benjamin Netanyahu being assisted by two individuals in military-style uniforms amidst a dusty, debris-filled environment.

Where: Unknown.

When: Unknown.

Why: The image is being used as part of a disinformation campaign to falsely claim that the Israeli Prime Minister was injured or killed.

Less detail

The image depicts a man who appears to be Benjamin Netanyahu, wearing a dark suit, being held or supported by two individuals dressed in tactical military gear. The setting is obscured by a thick, greyish haze or dust, suggesting a scene of destruction or a collapse. The figures are positioned closely together, and the overall lighting is dim and diffuse, characteristic of a low-quality video frame or a heavily processed digital image.

OUR VERDICT

FOUND ONLINE Exact 10 Pages 13 Similar 10 Partial 1

Twitter / X

Trace Source

HERE IS WHAT YOU SHOULD KNOW:

- Anatomical inconsistencies in the hands and fingers of the supporting figures
- Artificial, uniform texture of the background dust
- Lack of realistic lighting and shadow integration between the subjects and the environment
- High probability scores from forensic AI detection models
- Blurry edge artifacts around the figures
- Inconsistent sharpness between the central subject and the background
- Frequency analysis flags indicating non-natural image generation patterns
- The central figure's face
- The hands of the individuals in military gear

Did you take a screenshot? Try to use the original. We don't support video yet, but image stills can still help you find the original poster. Note that smooth textures, blurred backgrounds, and compression artifacts in stills can trigger false positives in our AI detection models.

These observations are from AI visual inspection — not definitive proof. Verify independently.

AI-Generated Image

High Confidence

See the full reasoning

This image has no camera sensor characteristics (Camera Matcher: 3%), no camera metadata, and AI visual analysis flagged fabrication and B-Free at 85%. Despite some detection models not flagging it, the complete absence of real-camera fingerprints indicates this is an AI-generated image.

SHOULD I BELIEVE THIS?

Our detection models flagged this image as AI-generated. Do not publish or share without disclosure. Verify through independent sources before drawing conclusions.

The setting is entirely obscured by artificial dust/haze, providing no identifiable architectural or geographical markers.

Processed on dedicated EU servers. Members' images are never stored. Privacy Policy

3/4 cleared | 2/4 found edits | No camera metadata

AI detection models flagged this as machine-generated.

HOW WE KNOW

Each signal below contributed to the verdict. Strong signals carry more weight. Green means evidence of authenticity, red means evidence of AI or manipulation, grey is neutral.

- **Commercial AI scanner found no AI patterns (1%)**
- **Academic AI detector (B-Free/DINOv2) flagged AI generation (85%)**
- Frequency analysis (SPAI) found normal patterns (0%)
- Generator diversity model (CommFor) cleared (3%)
- 1 editing detector found suspicious regions (Mesorch)
- No EXIF metadata — consistent with AI generation or social media sharing
- AI scene analysis identified signs of AI generation (Anatomical inconsistencies in the hands and fingers of the supporting figures, Artificial, uniform texture of the background dust)
- 10 exact copies found on the web — image has been published before
- HiFi-Net++ forensic classifier found no forgery evidence (0%)
- **Detected as a video still — AI detection scores are unreliable on video frames due to compression artifacts**

MODEL AGREEMENT

2 of 3 AI detection models clear, 1 flag

VERDICT BASIS

Zero camera characteristics (3% realness), no metadata, AI visual analysis flagged fabrication and B-Free at 85%

OUR REASONING

The image exhibits significant compression artifacts and a lack of fine detail, consistent with a low-resolution screen capture or a heavily downsampled file. The edges of the figures, particularly around the shoulders and hair, appear blurred and poorly defined, which is common in AI-generated composites or heavily edited media. There is a noticeable lack of consistent shadow direction, and the texture of the "dust" appears uniform and artificial rather than organic. Our AI visual analysis flagged: Anatomical inconsistencies in the hands and fingers of the supporting figures; Artificial, uniform texture of the background dust; Lack of realistic lighting and shadow integration between the subjects and the environment. Models split: B-Free (85%) flagged AI; Commercial Scanner (1%), SPAI (0%), CommFor (3%) cleared it. Flagged as screenshot — AI scores can be unreliable on re-captured content.

FORENSIC OBSERVATIONS

AI GENERATION EVIDENCE

- Anatomical inconsistencies in the hands and fingers of the supporting figures
- Artificial, uniform texture of the background dust
- Lack of realistic lighting and shadow integration between the subjects and the environment
- High probability scores from forensic AI detection models

SOURCE INTELLIGENCE

Back to verdict

IDENTIFIED SUBJECT

Benjamin Netanyahu

Also associated with: Prime minister, Prime Minister of Israel, Minister

High confidence · mentioned in 4 page titles

Social Media Only 13 sources

Found on 4 video platforms, 8 social media platforms, and 1 other site.

VIDEO (4)

youtube.com — Statement by PM Netanyahu - YouTube

youtube.com — Statement by Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu - YouTube

youtube.com — Who is Benjamin Netanyahu? - YouTube

Show 1 more

SOCIAL MEDIA (8)

Social media posts are shown as context but are not used as fact-check references.

x.com — Analyze this image @grok and tell us the % chance that it is a real ...

x.com — Analyze this image @grok and tell us the % chance that it is a real ...

x.com — Analyze this image @grok and tell us the % chance that it is a real ...

Show 5 more

OTHER (1)

home.vn — Tin đồn Thủ tướng Netanyahu bị thiệt mạng - Home.vn

LOCATION ANALYSIS

Back to verdict

AI-Generated Location Mimicry

AI Estimate: This location is guessed by AI from visual clues in the image. It may be inaccurate. Always verify through independent sources.

AI-generated scene — no real location

Our models flag this image as AI-generated. If so, it may not depict a specific real-world location.

The setting is entirely obscured by artificial dust/haze, providing no identifiable architectural or geographical markers.

IMAGE DETECTION

Back to verdict

Pages linking to this image 7

Timeline: Dates found for 4 out of 13 sources. The earliest dated appearance is from Twitter/X.

(6 unrelated visual similarity matches hidden)

What this means: Widely circulated image found on 13 websites.

Sort by: Date (Oldest First) 4 of 13 have dates

- Statement by PM Netanyahu - YouTube
  https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vsj5pw4QPIs
- Statement by Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu - YouTube
  https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HJKKlxtO2Bo
- Who is Benjamin Netanyahu? - YouTube
  https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R974E5K10kw
- Is this AI? (It is supposed to be Netanyahu) (It is supposed ... - Reddit
  https://www.reddit.com/r/isthisAI/comments/lrtc9h7/is_this_ai_it_is_supposed_to_be_net
- Statement by Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu - YouTube
  https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oF-sPCIFuQ8

... and 2 more

Exact matches 10

Sort by source



Showing 8 of 10 images

Visually similar images 10

Sort by source



Showing 8 of 10 images

Partial matches 1

INVESTIGATE THIS IMAGE

Back to verdict

REVERSE IMAGE SEARCH

Visual Search | TinEye | Yandex | Bing

FACT-CHECK DATABASE

Search Fact-Checkers

FACEBOOK POST SEARCH

Israel Prime minister Prime Minister of Israel

Today | Yesterday

Requires Facebook login. Edit the search terms above, then click Today or Yesterday.

SEARCH BY KEYWORDS

Add keywords (optional)

military | man | suit | individuals dressed in | tactical military gear

Web Search | Image Search | Fact-Checkers | Lexica | Shutterstock

SPECIFIC THINGS TO RESEARCH

1 **Research identified subjects**

Verify the key subjects and context detected in this image

Click keywords to add to search:

Click keywords or type your own

Israel | Prime minister | Benjamin Netanyahu | Facial expression | Film

Google Search | Google News

Ask About This Image Powered by ImageWhisperer

Have questions about this verdict? Ask follow-up questions about any verified image with a Professional or Extra plan.

Upgrade to Professional

Was this result correct?

Correct — Result was accurate

Wrong — Result was incorrect

DETECTION MODEL SCORES

Back to verdict

AI & Cloud Services

1 **AI Scene Analysis** LARGE LANGUAGE MODEL — Done

A multimodal AI examines the image for visual anomalies, impossible physics, and signs of AI generation.

The image depicts a man who appears to be Benjamin Netanyahu, wearing a dark suit, being held or supported by two ind...

2 **Reverse Image Search** WEB SEARCH — Done

Searches the web for matching images, exact copies, and visually similar results to check where this image has appeared before.

Found: 13 pages, 10 exact matches, 1 partial match, 10 similar.

3 **Manipulation Detection** ELA + AI — Done

Error Level Analysis highlights areas that have been modified. An AI model then interprets the results to identify suspicious regions.

ELA score: 7%.

4 **Composite Detection** ALGORITHMIC — Done

Checks if the image was assembled from multiple source images by analyzing edge consistency and lighting direction.

No compositing detected.

5 **Watermark Analysis** DIGITAL SIGNATURES —

Checks for invisible AI watermarks embedded by generators. Also examines C2PA content credentials and digital provenance data.

6 **Perspective Check** GEOMETRY —

Analyzes vanishing points and perspective lines. AI-generated images often have subtle perspective errors that are invisible to the human eye.

7 **EXIF Metadata** FILE ANALYSIS — Done

Reads embedded metadata: camera model, GPS coordinates, editing software, timestamps. Missing or suspicious metadata can indicate AI generation.

No EXIF metadata found — could indicate AI generation or social media strip.

Tap to view full metadata

Detection Models

Is this image AI-generated?

These 7 models check whether the entire image was created by an AI tool like Midjourney, DALL-E, or Stable Diffusion.

2 of 7 AI detection models agree. 5 see it differently.

1 **Commercial AI Scanner** (Commercial) Commercial API 2024 — Clear 1%

A commercial detection service that runs its own proprietary checks. It provides an independent second opinion from outside our own model suite.

How this was validated

Why does this disagree? This model uses a different detection technique that can be triggered by specific characteristics of this image.

AI score: 1%

2 **Visual DNA Check** (B-Free) DINOv2 2024 — Flagged 85%

Uses a powerful vision AI (DINOv2) trained to spot the subtle difference between real photos and AI-generated images. Think of it as a "trained eye" for spotting fakes.

How this was validated

AI probability: 85% Time: 1.0s

3 **Frequency Check** (SPAI) CVPR 2025 — Clear 0%

Converts the image into a hidden frequency spectrum — like turning a song into sheet music — and looks for patterns that AI generators leave behind.

How this was validated

Why does this disagree? The frequency analysis may have been confused by the heavy, uniform compression artifacts which mimic some natural noise patterns.

Score: 0% Time: 1.4s Analyzed at: 982×649

4 **Generator Diversity Check** (CommFor) CVPR 2025 — Clear 3%

Trained on 2.7 million images from 4,803 different AI generators — more than any other detector. Uses a Vision Transformer to spot patterns shared across all types of AI image generation.

How this was validated

Why does this disagree? This model uses a different detection technique that can be triggered by specific characteristics of this image.

AI probability: 3% Confidence: 94% Time: 0.0s

7 **Flux Detector** (Flux) Custom 2026 — Clear 0%

A DINOv2 linear probe specifically trained to detect images generated by Flux, a popular open-source AI image generator. Catches Flux-generated images that other detectors may miss.

How this was validated

Why does this disagree? The image's low resolution and heavy blur may have obscured the specific

high-frequency patterns that this model uses to detect Flux-generated content.

8 **GPT Image Detector** (GPT-I) Custom 2026 — Clear 0%

A DINOv2 linear probe trained specifically on GPT Image 1.5 outputs. Detects images from OpenAI's native image generation model, which went viral in March 2025.

How this was validated

Why does this disagree? This model uses a different detection technique that can be triggered by specific characteristics of this image.

2 **Camera Matcher** (CamMatch) Custom 2026 — Flagged 3%

Measures how much an image looks like it came from a real camera. Trained on 140K+ real camera photos and 15K+ AI-generated images. High score = camera-like, low score = not matching camera characteristics. Works against ALL AI generators, even ones it has never seen. Note: 0% does not always mean AI-generated. Real photos that are screenshots/PNGs from news sites, heavily compressed, or taken in extreme lighting (fire, explosions) can lose all camera-like characteristics.

How this was validated

Has this photo been edited?

These 6 models look for signs that parts of a real photograph were altered — retouching, object removal, or background replacement.

3 of 5 editing detectors agree. 2 see it differently.

1 **Cut-and-Paste Check** (TruFor) CVPR 2023 — Uncertain 50%

Checks if the "digital noise" across the image is consistent. Real cameras leave uniform noise patterns. Edits and AI-generated areas break this pattern.

How this was validated

2 **Edit Localization (ViT)** (IML-ViT) NeurIPS 2024 — Clear 14%

Uses a Vision Transformer to compare every patch of the image against every other patch. Regions edited with AI inpainting or splicing show different pixel statistics from the original camera capture.

How this was validated

Why does this disagree? The lack of specific localized edit artifacts suggests the entire image was generated at once rather than being a simple 'cut and paste' edit.

Hotspot: 14% Pixels flagged: 0% Time: 1.4s

Peak manipulation at (936, 531) — 20% confidence

Load heatmap

3 **Forensic Trace Scanner** (Sparse-ViT) AAAI 2025 — Uncertain 45%

Ignores what the image looks like and focuses purely on forensic traces: noise patterns, compression artifacts, and pixel statistics. Catches edits that are visually seamless but disrupt low-level forensic signatures.

How this was validated

4 **Frequency Analysis** (Mesorch) AAAI 2025 — Flagged 90%

Runs two parallel analyses: a CNN examines high-frequency details (edges, textures) while a Transformer examines low-frequency patterns (lighting, color gradients). Manipulated regions show mismatches between these two domains.

How this was validated

Hotspot: 90% Pixels flagged: 12% Time: 0.3s

Load heatmap

5 **Perspective Consistency** (PerspField) CVPR 2023 — Waiting...

Analyzes the perspective field (gravity direction and vanishing points) across the image. Real photos have consistent perspective — gravity points the same way everywhere. Composited images may have conflicting perspectives where pasted elements come from different camera angles. Score shows consistency: high = uniform perspective (real), low = conflicting perspective (possible composite).

How this was validated

6 **Forgery Classifier** (HiFi-Net++) CVPR 2023 — Clear 0%

Hierarchical forgery classifier that identifies the type of manipulation: copy-move, splicing, inpainting, AI-generation, or face swap. Also provides pixel-level localization of manipulated regions. Trained on 14 fine-grained forgery categories.

How this was validated

Why does this disagree? This model uses a different detection technique that can be triggered by specific characteristics of this image.

Authentic Confidence: 100% Pixels flagged: 0% Time: 0.2s

Why do AI detectors disagree? Is that bad?

Not at all. Each specialist examines the image differently — frequency patterns, visual features, noise consistency. It's like asking a doctor, a chemist, and an X-ray technician to examine the same patient. They use different tools, so they sometimes reach different conclusions.

What matters is the overall picture. Our verdict weighs each specialist's strengths and known blind spots. When most agree, the verdict is stronger. When they disagree, we tell you openly so you can investigate further.

What this means

Multiple detection tools independently identified patterns typical of AI-generated images. This image was very likely created by an AI tool.

Do not publish without disclosure.

How we reached this verdict

AI-Generated Image confidence: high

15 checks performed: AI scene analysis, 1 visual check, 9 detection models, metadata, web search.

SCENE ANALYSIS
Examined the image but did not reach a strong conclusion about authenticity.

DETECTION MODELS
1 of 4 AI detection models flagged AI patterns; 3 found none. 1 of 5 editing detectors found suspicious regions.

WHY THIS VERDICT
The commercial API scored only 1% (normally = no AI detected), but the academic model (University of Naples / DINOv2) scored 85%. Our system prioritizes the academic model here: commercial APIs recognize known generators but miss new ones, while the academic model detects statistical patterns shared by ALL AI images regardless of tool. No camera metadata to support a real origin — override applied automatically.

METADATA

No EXIF found — consistent with AI, but also with social media sharing which strips metadata.

WEB SEARCH

Found on 10 exact copies and 13 web pages.

SCORECARD

No concerns Scene Analysis | 1 passed Visual Checks | 85% Visual DNA Check

3% Generator Diversity Check | 1% Commercial Scanner | 0% Frequency Check

90% Frequency Analysis | 50% Cut-and-Paste Check | 45% Forensic Trace Scanner

14% Edit Localization | 0% Forgery Classifier | None Camera EXIF | 10 exact Web matches

ImageWhisperer combines all 15 checks using a priority-based decision system. Our verdict weighs each model based on its peer-reviewed accuracy — models trained on more diverse datasets receive slightly more influence. Known fakes and AI watermarks override everything. When detection models disagree, we investigate why rather than averaging scores. No single check decides alone.

▶ TECHNICAL INFO

QUICK SCAN

DIMENSIONS	FORMAT	EXIF DATA	JPEG QUALITY
982 x 649	JPEG 90.1 KB	None found	~98% High compression

ASPECT RATIO

3:2

WEB SEARCH

Found on 13 web pages · 10 exact matches

Google recognizes this as: Benjamin Netanyahu

FORENSIC SCAN

The image exhibits significant compression artifacts and a lack of fine detail, consistent with a low-resolution screen capture or a heavily downsampled file. The edges of the figures, particularly around the shoulders and hair, appear blurred and poorly defined, which is common in AI-generated composites or heavily edited media. There is a noticeable lack of consistent shadow direction, and the texture of the "dust" appears uniform and artificial rather than organic. The sharpness of the central figure's face is inconsistent with the surrounding blurry environment, suggesting a potential composite.